# UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN-DEARBORN

# A Framework for Investigating the Impact of PEV Charging on Distribution Systems with the Integrated Modeling and Simulation of Transportation Network

# Wencong Su, Ph.D. Assistant Professor Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering University of Michigan-Dearborn E-mail: wencong@umich.edu Web: www.SuWencong.com





#### Transportation sector consumes 1/3 of total energy in U.S.A.



#### Current U.S. vehicle fleet = ~250 millions vehicles Getting charged up about a gasoline-free future!

[1] W. Su, H. Rahimi-Eichi, W. Zeng, and M.-Y. Chow, "A Survey on the Electrification of Transportation in a Smart Grid Environment," IEEE Trans. on Industrial Informatics, vol.8, no.1, pp.1-10, Feb. 2012.





(2013 IEEE Industrial Electronics Society Student Best Paper Award)



# Plug-in Electric Vehicles are coming !!!

10% market share of PHEVs/PEVs ~10kW charging level

250 million vehicles X 10% <u>X 10kW</u> **250 GW** 



1,000 GW (total U.S. installed generation capacity)







#### National Household Travel Survey (NHTS) Dataset:

The data are **NOT** ideal for devising a detailed city or regional level model of the driving habits by analyzing a **statewide and nationwide** dataset.

#### **UC Davis Institute for Transportation Studies:**

The data are ideal for giving a general sense of how consumers prefer to charge their vehicles, but are **NOT** usable for detailed analysis of charging behavior.

#### Vehicle Testing Data from Idaho National Laboratory:

The data are useful for deducing the general characteristics and fuel efficiency of different vehicles, but are **NOT** useful for detailed analysis.

### Plug-in Hybrid and US Light Vehicle Data from DOE:

The data are useful for getting a general idea of the characteristics and fuel efficiency of different vehicles, but are **NOT** useful for detailed analysis.



| AK = Alaska               | 253    |
|---------------------------|--------|
| AL = Alabama              | 425    |
| AR = Arkansas             | 258    |
| AZ = Arizona              | 7,157  |
| CA = California           | 21,225 |
| CO = Colorado             | 306    |
| CT = Connecticut          | 275    |
| DC = District of Columbia | 264    |
| DE = Delaware             | 245    |
| FL = Florida              | 15,884 |
| GA = Georgia              | 7,502  |
| HI = Hawaii               | 254    |
| IA = Iowa                 | 3,752  |
| ID = Idaho                | 259    |
| IL = Illinois             | 809    |
| IN = Indiana              | 3,458  |
| KS = Kansas               | 263    |
| KY = Kentucky             | 271    |
| LA = Louisiana            | 292    |
| MA = Massachusetts        | 412    |
| MD = Maryland             | 355    |
| ME = Maine                | 277    |
| MI = Michigan             | 643    |
| MN = Minnesota            | 340    |
| MO = Missouri             | 389    |
| MS = Mississippi          | 257    |
| MT = Montana              | 256    |
| NC = North Carolina       | 11,096 |
| ND = North Dakota         | 256    |
| NE = Nebraska             | 1,289  |
| NH = New Hampshire        | 254    |
| NJ = New Jersey           | 559    |
| NM = New Mexico           | 251    |
| NV = Nevada               | 256    |
| NY = New York             | 16,165 |
| OH = Ohio                 | 724    |





"Dynamic Network Assignment-Simulation Model" [1] **Regional Transportation Simulation Tool for Evacuation** Planning (RTSTEP) [2]



- ~10,000 square miles
- 40.000 links
- 14,000 intersections
- 9 million residents
- ~26.5 million vehicle trips
- 500,000 concurrent drivers





#### Individual travel:

- Trip based
- Activity based

#### Transportation scenarios:

- □ Price based (e.g., add toll at the selected road)
- □ Time based (e.g., flexible working hours)
- Control based (e.g. signal control and ramp metering)

[1] X. Zhou, H.S. Mahmassani, and K. Zhang, "Dynamic Micro-Assignment Modeling Approach for Integrated Multimodal Urban Corridor Management," Transportation Research Part C, Vol. 16, pp 167-186, 2008.

[2] Transportation Research and Analysis Computing Center, Argonne National Laboratory. [Online]

http://www.ntis.gov/search/product.aspx?ABBR=DE20121033873







**Powe Distribution Sytem** 

Transportation Network

**Coupled Power Distribution and Transportation Networks** 



Sioux Falls, South Dakota, Transportation Network





[1] **W. Su**, J. Wang, K. Zhang, and M.-Y. Chow, "Framework for Investigating the Impact of PHEV Charging on Power Distribution and Transportation Networks," in *Proc. of the 38th IES Annual Conference*, Montreal, Canada, Oct. 25-28, 2012.

at ILLINOIS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

[2] **W. Su**, and K. Zhang, "Investigating the Impact of PEV Charging on Power Distribution System with the Integrated Modeling and Simulation of Transportation Network, 2013. (in preparation)



## **Power System Scenarios:**

Base load demand on weekday, weekend, holiday
PEV charging scheme

# **Transportation Scenarios:**

Price based (e.g., add toll at the selected road)
Time based (e.g., flexible working hours)
Control based (e.g. signal control and ramp metering)
.....



#### **Deterministic Analysis:**

System-level analysis under different scenarios
Component-level analysis (component ability to supply PEV charging load) under different scenarios

#### **Stochastic Analysis:**

Stochastic simulations (Monte Carlo) over a full day/week/year
General conclusions concerning likely distribution system impacts



